IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 8TH MAY 2007 / 18TH VAISAKHA 1929

WP(C).No. 13966 of 2007(K)

PETITIONER:

SMT.MAIDHILY C., AGED 43 YEARS,
W/O. PAVITHRAN, THOTTATHIL HOUSE, P.O.KIZHUNNA,
KANNUR - 7. (PART-TIME-SWEEPER),
(THE RANGE OFFICE, SOCIAL FORESTRY RANGE, KANNUR).

BY ADV. SRI.SUNIL V.MOHAMMED

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO FINANCE DEPARTMENT
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS,
SOCIAL FORESTRY DEPARTMENT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE ASST. CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS,
SOCIAL FORESTRY DIVISION, KANNUR.

4. THE RANGE OFFICER,
SOCIAL FORESTRY RANGE, KANNUR.

BY G.P. SMT. M.R. SREELATHA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 08/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

T.R. Ramachandran Nair, J.

- -

W.P.(C).NO.13966 of 2007-K

- -

Dated this the 8th day of May, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is working as a part-time Casual Sweeper under the 4th respondent since 1998. The petitioner submits that she is being paid Rs.750/- per month now and has not been granted any benefits of the pay revision orders. She is relying upon the decision of this court in Writ Petition No.30927/2003 and connected cases to claim regularisation and for getting salary on a regular basis. Petitioner submits that she had preferred Ext.P2 representation before the third respondent for granting her the benefits of 1997 pay revision and consequential arrears. It is also submitted that the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of the decision of this court in Writ Appeal No.1863/2005 and other connected cases. In these circumstances, she seeks for a direction to the respondents to forward her application for regularisation in the specified proforma along with Ext.P1.

2. The petitioner has produced before me a direction issued by this court in Writ Petition No.31171/2006 in similar circumstances. Therefore, respondents 2 to 4 are directed to forward the application submitted by the petitioner along with their remarks on the same to the first respondent,

within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. On receipt of the relevant papers from the competent authority among respondents 2 to 4, the Government shall pass appropriate orders within two months thereafter.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. The petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment along with a copy of the writ petiiton before the respondents for appropriate action.

(T.R. Ramachandran Nair, Judge.)

kav/